

"Express Mail" mailing label number:

ET 150396 47105

Date of Deposit: 6-21-01

PATENT
AUS920010131US1
(9000/30)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
APPLICATION FOR UNITED STATES LETTERS PATENT

INVENTORS: PATRICK J. BOHRER
ELMOOTAZBELLAH N. ELNOZAHY
CHARLES R. LEFURGY
RAMAKRISHNAN RAJAMONY
BRUCE A. SMITH

TITLE: DATA STORAGE ON A
COMPUTER DISK ARRAY

ATTORNEYS: CASIMER K. SALYS
IBM CORPORATION
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPT.
11400 BURNET ROAD - 4054
AUSTIN, TEXAS 78758
(512) 823-0092

099619-063101

DATA STORAGE ON A COMPUTER DISK ARRAY

TECHNICAL FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to computer disk operation and, more specifically, to a method for allocating files on an array of computer disks.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Computer systems generally use arrays of disk drives to improve storage performance and reliability. For example, Redundant Arrays of Inexpensive Disks (RAID) have become very popular in server farms. Other configurations are also possible, for instance by spreading a storage volume that logically appears as a single logical disk over several disks. The stored files typically are allocated evenly between several hard disk drives within a computer system, such as in RAID systems, or with no specific distribution as in multi-disk storage volumes.

In dense server systems where maintenance costs are high and power consumption matters, this storage methodology has several shortcomings. For example, RAID systems require all disks to be accessed simultaneously to improve performance and reliability, requiring the entire disk farm to be always online. This leads to high power consumption. A superior solution would allocate files such that not all disks need to be accessed simultaneously, allowing a part of the disk farm to be turned off to reduce power consumption. Thus, disks capable of periodically turning off can save power and extend a mean time to failure (MTTF). For example, laptop computer systems require small hard disks that optimize energy usage. Thus, laptop disks are designed for frequent spin up-and-down cycles and extended off-times. A superior method of allocating files across the array would exploit such disks in server farms or general computing systems and may also utilize power management to effectively reduce power consumption and overall wear.

Switching parts of the disk farm on and off frequently, however, may lead to imbalances in the workloads of individual disks. This imbalance may lead to an increased and uneven drive wear and tear, driving up the maintenance cost of the server farm. A superior method of allocating files across the array would ensure balanced disk wear without sacrificing the power reduction advantages of switching parts of the disk farm off.

In summary, the disk storage architecture of computer systems provides high performance and reliability. The current storage methodology, however, has limitations that may include unbalanced and increased disk wear and high power consumption. Therefore, it would be desirable to achieve a strategy for operating an array of computer disks that overcomes the aforementioned and other disadvantages.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

One aspect of the invention provides a method of operating a plurality of disks. Units of data storage are selected. The disks are allocated between an active group and an inactive group. The units of data storage having a usage factor that meets a condition limit are allocated to the active group. The units of data storage having a usage factor not meeting the condition limit are allocated to the inactive group. The disks are selectively reallocated between the active group and the inactive group based upon a disk use parameter. The disks may be classified into a plurality of disk groups, including said active group and said inactive group. The classification of the disk groups may comprise assigning each disk to the active group based on required performance, power consumption, and desire to reduce and balance the wear within the disk groups. Determining the usage factor may comprise determining a unit access parameter; the access parameter may comprise file popularity. The usage factor may classify each unit based on whether the unit meets a conditional limit. A total storage requirement may be computed for each unit that meets the condition limit. The active group may be determined based on the condition limit and the total storage requirement. The condition limit may be determined based

09886199-06404
PAT 290-2579850

Another aspect of the invention provides a computer usable medium including a program for operating a plurality of disks comprising: computer readable program code for selecting units of data storage, computer readable program code for allocating the disks between an active group and an inactive group, computer readable program code for allocating units of data storage having a usage factor that meets the condition limit to the active group, computer readable program code for allocating units of data storage having a usage factor not meeting the condition limit to the inactive group, and computer readable program code for selectively reallocating disk between the active group and the inactive group based upon a disk use parameter.

The foregoing and other features and advantages of the invention will become further apparent from the following detailed description of the presently preferred embodiments, read in conjunction with the accompanying drawings. The detailed description and drawings are merely illustrative of the invention rather than limiting, the scope of the invention being defined by the appended claims and equivalents thereof.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a schematic overview of one embodiment of the present invention; and

FIG. 2 is a flow diagram of an algorithm according to another embodiment of the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PRESENTLY PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

Referring to the drawings, **FIG. 1** shows a schematic overview of one embodiment of the present invention designated in the aggregate as numeral **10**. In one embodiment, a computer system (not shown) may support an array of disks **20**. Those skilled in the art will appreciate that any number of computer hard drive type disks may be suitable for use with the present invention. For example, 3.5-inch form factor type hard drives, 1.8-inch and 2.5-inch form factor laptop type hard drives, and combinations thereof may be functionally adapted for use with the present invention.

The unit of storage of data allocation in the following description is set to a file. Those skilled in the art will appreciate that the same method can be applied to other units of storage allocation in a straightforward manner (e.g. disk block, file system block, portion of a file, a combination of files, database indexes, etc.). In one embodiment, a plurality of files **21** containing data may be stored on the disks **20**. The computer system may be attached to a network wherein the files **21** may be accessed. Furthermore, the files **21** may be modified in number, size, or characteristic through the computer system and other networked computers.

The files **21** may contain file characteristics **22** such as a file size and an access parameter that may be relayed to a controller **30**. The file size may reflect the byte count size of the file. The access parameter may reflect any number of statistics relating to file popularity. The file popularity may be determined by a file access count, a file access rate, a file recent usage rate, or a file access rank. Determining the file popularity may involve counting number of file accesses to calculate the file access count and optionally dividing by a time, t ,

to calculate the file access rate. In one embodiment, the file popularity may be estimated by ranking the access count of the files **21** to determine the file access rank. Files **21** with the greatest access counts may be designated as most popular.

The controller **30** may classify the array of disks into a plurality of disk groups. In one embodiment, the disk groups may include an active group **40** and an inactive group **50**. The controller **30** may assign each disk to either the active group or the inactive group based on required performance, power consumption, and desire to reduce and balance the wear within the disk groups. This classification and assignment process may be better understood by the following description of controller **30** function.

One embodiment of the invention in which an algorithm for operating a plurality of disks is shown in **FIG. 2**. The algorithm may be written in computer readable program code and run by the controller **30**. In another embodiment, the server and/or the disks may run the algorithm. Those skilled in the art will recognize that a number of strategies exist for operating the disks in a manner consistent with the present invention. The outlined steps of the algorithm may be modified in number, order, or content while maintaining effective operation of the disk array.

As shown in **FIG. 2**, the aforementioned file characteristic information **22** may be assimilated to determine a usage factor for each file (block **51**). A controller may then divide the array of disks into a plurality of groups (block **52**). In one embodiment, the groups may comprise an active group and an inactive group. Each disk may then be allocated to either the active group or the inactive group (block **53**). In one embodiment, the disk allocation may be based on number of file usage factors meeting a condition limit. For example, those skilled in the art will appreciate that 10 percent of files generally comprise 90 percent of total access operations. Files falling into a 10 percent access usage factor category may meet the condition limit. In one embodiment, the controller may estimate a storage size needed to hold files meeting the condition limit, such as

the 10 percent category, and an appropriate number of disks may be allocated to the active group to accommodate these files.

In another embodiment, the active group and the inactive group disks may be allocated (block 53) based on a predetermined rule set by an operator of the server or the controller. In yet another embodiment, the active group and inactive group disks may be allocated based on a tradeoff of performance, power consumption, and a desire to extend the MTTF of the disk array components. At one extreme, a large number of disks allocated to the active group may yield better performance, but at the expense of higher power consumption and shorter MTTF. At the other extreme, a small number of disks allocated to the active group may reduce performance, but will lower power consumption and extend the MTTF. Therefore, the active group and the inactive group may be allocated to optimize performance, power consumption, and MTTF.

Once the disks have been allocated into either the active group or the inactive (block 53), the controller may determine whether a file meets the condition limit based on the file usage factor. The condition limit may be designated by the aforementioned 90-10 rule, based on the storage capacity of the active group, or determined by a predetermined rule set by an operator of the server or the controller. The condition limit may not only be used to determine the files comprising the active group, but also the number of disks needed to store these files. If a file meets the condition limit (block 54), it may be allocated onto at least one active group disk (blocks 55). Conversely, if a file does not meet the condition limit (block 54), at least one inactive group disk may be powered up (block 56) and the file may be allocated onto those disk(s) (block 57).

The controller may repeat the file allocation process for every file or subset of files stored on the disk array. In addition, the controller may determine which disk(s) are to be powered up and down and/or which disk(s) are to take part in the file allocation process. For example, a file may span two or more disks within a given group (the group in which the file has been determined to be part of). In one embodiment, the controller may ensure that the correct disks

within the given group are 'on' and accessible to allow for the allocation of said file.

The allocation of files (blocks **55** and **57**) may comprise spreading the files evenly across the appropriate disk group. Allocating the files evenly across the appropriate disk group may ensure balanced disk wear and may be accomplished by assigning, copying, and storing the files to a designated disk. The allocation process may allow traditional parallel access methods, such as RAID to be applied within the context and scope of the active disk group.

To reduce system power consumption and overall disk wear, the controller may control and manage a spin on-off duty cycle of individual or group of disks. Those skilled in the art will recognize that a variety of hard drive technologies support efficient and reliable on-off duty cycles. Examples include the aforementioned laptop disk drives. After the file allocation process of the inactive group disk(s) (block **57**), the powered up inactive group disks may be powered down (block **58**) until another file access procedure is required. Power may be conserved and overall wear reduced since only the majority of active group disks are 'on' and actively accessed. In one embodiment, the active group disks may also be powered up and down as required by the controller. Powering down at least one active group disk may save additional power and wear.

Controlling the duty cycle may comprise controlling the starting and stopping of the disks. In one embodiment, the process may be contingent upon such factors as reducing power consumption, improving system performance, and a desire to balance the workload over time. Balancing the workload across the disks may avoid creating excessive wear within a subset of the disk farm. Thus, disks in the active group may periodically move to the inactive group, and disks in the inactive group may periodically move to the active group in a manner that balances the wear on the disks over the time of the disk farm's operation. Files may be allocated to the new active disks upon such a transition. For example, inactive group files may be re-allocated to the new inactive group disks. The frequency of such transition may be managed by the controller in a manner that reduces the impact on the overall storage performance and power

consumption, while maintaining the balance in the workload over a long period of time.

After the file allocation process for both the active and inactive group disks, the file usage factor may be updated (block 59). In one embodiment, the controller may update the file usage factor as the file is accessed during a system operation or access procedure. After updating the file usage factor, a portion of the disk control process may or may not be repeated (block 60). If the process is not to be repeated, the algorithm may cease at this point. The operator of the system or the controller may choose to re-start the procedure at a later time. If the disk control process is to be repeated, the active group and inactive group disks may be re-allocated (block 53). The re-allocation may be based on a disk use parameter. The disk use parameter may be provided by the operator of the system or determined by the controller. In one embodiment, the disk use parameter may reflect a change in the file number or characteristics or the need to balance drive wear. Re-allocation provides several advantages, including: a refinement of the active and inactive groups, both in terms of disk assignment to a group and file allocation to a group, a dynamic adjustment in the face of changing file access patterns, and alternating group membership of the disks.

In one embodiment, the inactive group disk(s) may periodically join the active group, and active group disk(s) may join the inactive group. Rotating the membership of active group via re-allocation may balance wear on the disk array and avoid creating imbalances in the workload. Furthermore, since the inactive group disks are generally turned off, they would not consume power and their MTTF may be extended. Rotating group membership may entail that files in a current active group are reallocated to disks that would soon be designated to a new active group. Similarly, files in a current inactive group are reallocated to disks that would soon be designated to a new inactive group. Finally, the disks remaining in a respective active or inactive group would not require their files to be reallocated. This file reallocation allows disks to rotate between the 'on' state

09086192-063401
TOP SECRET 25T98860

and 'off' state, while attempting to balance the entire workload over all disks to reduce the overall wear in the disk array.

The membership of the active group may be re-allocated frequently and may rotate within the disk array to ensure a most balanced wear between drive members as needed. In one embodiment, the controller may repeat the re-allocation and aforementioned procedural loop indefinitely to control the operation of the disk array. A timer (not shown) set by an operator of the system or the controller may dictate the loop cycle as a whole or by individual step. The timer may ensure steady and continuous controller operation as well as operable flexibility. Additionally, the timer information may be utilized for the file access count and rate determinations.

While the embodiments of the invention disclosed herein are presently considered to be preferred, various changes and modifications can be made without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention. The scope of the invention is indicated in the appended claims, and all changes that come within the meaning and range of equivalents are intended to be embraced therein.